

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/030,557	RAMOND, LOUIS
Examiner	Art Unit	
Tim Heitbrink	1722	

All Participants:

Status of Application: pending

(1) Tim Heitbrink

(3) _____

(2) Steven Laut

(4) _____

Date of Interview: 12 November 2003

Time: _____

Type of Interview:

Telephonic
 Video Conference
 Personal (Copy given to: Applicant Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: Yes No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

None

Claims discussed:

1,4,5,7,8,11,12

Prior art documents discussed:

None

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

Mr. Laut agreed to the changes to the claims as set forth in the Examiner's Amendment.

Part III.

It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)